Paper ID MD 10

STATIC AND MODAL ANALYSIS OF CAR BUMPER

Asst. Prof. Suhas Gudhate

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indira College of Engineering & Management, Urse, Pune 410506. suhas.gudhate@indiraicem.ac.in

Asst. Prof. Vijay Bhujbal

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indira College of Engineering & Management, Urse, Pune 410506. vijay.bhujbal@indiraicem.ac.in

Asst. Prof. Keshav Wakchaure

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indira College of Engineering & Management, Urse, Pune 410506. keshav.wakchaure@indiraicem.ac.in

Abstract -

Bumper plays an imperative part in keeping the effective energy from being transferred to a vehicle and travelers. Sparing the effect energy in the bumper to be discharged in the environment decreases the harms of the vehicles. The main objective of this paper is to outline a bumper with least weight by utilizing the Glass Material Thermoplastic (GMT) materials. This bumper either ingests the effect energy with its distortion or exchanges it opposite to the effect direction. To achieve this point, an instrument is intended to change over around 80% of the kinetic effect energy to the spring potential energy and discharge it in an environment in the low effect speed as indicated as American standard. Likewise, since the residual kinetic energy will be damped with the minute versatile disfigurement of the bumper components, the passengers won't detect any effect. It ought to be noticed that in this paper, modeling, and result analysis are shown in Pro-E and ANSYS programming respectively.

Keywords - Car bumper, Pro-E and ANSYS programming

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Present days, Substitution of polymeric based composite material in car parts was effectively actualized in the journey for fuel and weight reduction. Among the parts in the car business substituted by polymeric based composite materials are the bumper bar, bumper sash, spoiler, associating bar, pedal box framework, and entryway inward board. The bumper framework comprises of three fundamental parts, to be specific bumper pillar, belt and energy safe bumper. One of the choices to decrease energy utilization is weight diminishment.

Nonetheless, the architect ought to know that to diminish the weight; the wellbeing of the auto traveler must not be yielded. Another creation in innovation material was presented with polymeric based composite materials, which offer high particular solidness, low weight, erosion free, and capacity to deliver complex shapes, high particular quality, and high effect energy ingestion.

The car body is one of the basic subsystems of a vehicle, and it does different capacities. It should hold the parts of the vehicle together and serve to channel commotion and vibration. Moreover, it ought to have the capacity to ensure its tenants when mishaps happen. To do this, the car body originator ought to make a structure with critical levels of quality, solidness, and energy retention.



Fig 1: Car Bumper

1.2 DESIGN OF BUMPER FOR VEHICLE SAFETY

As the limitations, the fatality rate increments drastically in fast effects. Keeping in mind that, an effective lightweight vehicle and essentially enhance the crash execution of current vehicles, innovative advancement is at yet required. On the off chance that the car body could expand its front end amid or just before a crash, the system of retaining the crash energy would be very surprising from that of the passive structure.

During a frontal crash, the front side part is required to overlap dynamically, in order to retain more energy and to guarantee enough traveler space. To do as such, different cross segments and shapes have been explored for the front rail of the car body to boost crashworthiness and weight productivity; their outline included strengthening the cross-segment.



Fig 2: Automotive bumper system component

Today, what is fascinating related of this examination is currently an imaginative inflatable bumper idea, called the "I-bumper," is created in this exploration for enhanced crashworthiness and wellbeing of military and business vehicles. The created I-bumper has a few dynamic basic parts, including a transforming component, a versatile bumper, two unstable airbags, and a transforming grid structure with a locking instrument that gives wanted inflexibility and energy ingestion ability amid a crash. Another imaginative enhancing crashworthiness is the use of tubes loaded with a granular material to assimilate energy amid of a crash.

1.3 MATERIAL PROPERTIES

- 1. High Strength to weight ratio
- 2. Rigidity
- 3. Corrosion resistance
- 4. Electrical Conductivity
- 5. Fatigue Resistance
- 6. Good tensile strength but Brittle
- 7. Fire Resistance/Not flammable
- 8. High Thermal Conductivity in some forms
- 9. Low coefficient of thermal expansion
- 10. Biologically inert
- 11. Self-Lubricating
- 12. Excellent EMI (Electromagnetic Interference) Shielding Property
- 13. Relatively Expensive

1.4 OBJECTIVES AND SCOPES

a) To break down the mechanical properties on front part (belt) of auto bumper:

- i. To examine on mechanical properties concentrate on stretch examination
- ii. To displaying the genuine measurement of the vehicle bumper into the UG software and examine by utilizing FEA programming (Analysis).
- iii. To research polymer composite material bumper (Proton Pesona) in view of their geometry and different parameters that impact the similarity of vehicle bumper.
- b) To assess failures component of the car bumper:
 - i. To think about the load dispersion on the bumper possibly it is consistently conveys to all the part amid the analysis.

ii. To anticipate the basic point. Their UI (user interface) toward interactive windows frameworks.

2 MODELING



3 STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

Steps followed in ansys workbench

3.1 Importing the Model

In this step the PRO-E model is imported into ANSYS workbench as follows:



Fig 4: Imported Geometry

In utility menu record alternative and select import outside geometry and open document and tap on produce. To go into recreation module tap on venture tab and tap on new reenactment.

CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER.	
Angel A	Anning Day
 Streeter 2 Streeter 2 Streeter 2 	Stateword Additional Program Transform 1200 MP Transform

Fig 5: Defining material properties

3. 2 Defining Element Type

To characterize kind of component for the examination, picked the fundamental menu, select sort of contacts and after that tap on work right snap embed strategy

Technique - Tetrahedrons

Calculation - Patch Conforming

Component Midside Nodes – Kept

-	Scope	
	Scoping Method	Geometry Selection
	Geometry	1 Body
-	Definition	
	Suppressed	No
	Method	Tetrahedrons
	Algorithm	Patch Conforming
	Element Midside Nodes	Kept
	Expansion Factor	1

Fig 6: Defining element type

3.3 Meshing the model

To play out the cross section of the model these means are to be taken after:

e

Picked the principle menu tap on work right snap embed measuring and afterward select geometry enter component size and after that tap on create work.



Fig 7: Meshing model

3.4 Applying Boundary conditions and Loads

To apply the limiting conditions on the model these means are to be taken after:

Picked the primary menu, tap on new investigation tab select static, tap on face and after that select face of the geometry-right snap embed settled. Pick the principle menu, select face and tap on face of geometry-right snap – embed – drive





Fig 9: Force application

Table 1 Aluminum A390 alloy Properties				
Properties				
Density (x1000 kg/m ³)	2.6 - 2.8			
Poisson's Ratio	0.33			
Elastic Modulus (GPa)	70-80			
Tensile Strength (MPa)	180			
Yeild Strength (MPa)	180			
Elongation (%)	<1.0			

Static Structural Analysis





Fig 10: Equivalent stress

Fig 11: Total Deformation

Deformations at different frequency for Aluminum A390 are as follows



Fig 14: Mode 3 (108.98 Hz)





Fig 15: Mode 4 (137.4 Hz)



 Total Definition
 Total Definition

 Type:
 Total Definit

Fig 16: Mode 5 (151.48 Hz)

Fig17: Mode 6 (164.8 Hz)

Table	2	Carbon	Fiber	Pro	perties
rabie	_	dai bon	1 10 01		

Young's Modulous	3.88 x 105 Mpa			
Poisson's Ratio	0.358			
Density	$1.6 \ge 10^{-6} \text{ kg/m}^3$			
Thermal Expansion	0.11/ºC			

Static structural analysis



Fig 18: Equivalent stress

Fig 19: Total Deformation



Deformations at different frequency for Carbon Fiber are as follows

Fig 20: Mode 1 (234.07 Hz)



Fig21: Mode 2 (282.89 Hz)



Fig 22: Mode 3 (336.24 Hz)



Fig 23: Mode 4 (423.4 Hz)



Fig 24: Mode 5 (467.54 Hz)

Fig 25: Mode 6 (509.35 Hz)

Mild steel properties Mild steel contains:	
Carbon	: 0.16 to 0.18 % (maximum0.25% is allowable)
Manganese	: 0.70 to 0.90 %
Silicon maximum	: 0.40%
Sulfur maximum	: 0.04%
Phosphorous maximum	: 0.04%
Mildest grade of carbon steel or mild ste	eel
contains a very low amount of carbon	: 0.05 to 0.26%

Table	2 Mild Sta	el Properties
Table	S MILLU SLE	er Froberues

Table 5 Mild Steel 1 toper ties				
Young's Modulous	210 Mpa			
Poisson's Ratio	0.303			
Density	7860 kg/m ³			
Thermal Expansion	2.3 x e ^{-0.051} / ^o C			

Static structural analysis





Fig 26: Equivalent stressFig 27: Total DeformationDeformations at different frequency for mild steel are as follows



Fig 28: Mode 1 (7.727e-005 Hz)



Fig 30: Mode 3 (1.11e-004 Hz)



Fig 32: Mode 5 (1.543e-004 Hz)



Fig 29: Mode 2 (9.3778e-005 Hz)



Fig 31: Mode 4 (1.4022e-004 Hz)



Fig 33: Mode 6 (1.677e-004 Hz)

DYNAMIC ANALYSIS Material: Carbon Fiber

Statistics					
Nodes	13971				
Elements	73498				



Fig34: Geometry



Fig36: Directional Deformation-z Hitting Wall With 60 Km/Hr



Fig 38: Equivalent stress With 60 Km/Hr



Fig 40: Total Deformation with 80 Km/Hr



Fig35: Messing part



Fig 37: Total Deformation With 60 Km/Hr



Fig 39: Directional Deformation-z Hitting Wall With80 Km/Hr



Fig 41: Equivalent stress With 80 Km/Hr

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mode	Aluminum A39	0 alloy	Carbon Fiber	1	Mild st	teel
	Frequency (Hz)	Total deformation (mm)	Frequency (Hz)	Total deformation (mm)	Frequency (Hz)	Total deformation (mm)
Mode 1	75.837	30.615	234.07	40.113	7.727e-005	1.8239
Mode 2	91.862	42.472	282.89	55.88	9.377e-005	2.2532
Mode 3	108.98	38.605	336.24	50.825	1.111e-004	2.2914
Mode 4	137.4	56.419	423.4	74.096	1.440e-004	3.3566
Mode 5	151.48	41.4	467.54	54.907	1.543e-004	2.4422
Mode 6	164.8	62.671	509.35	81.85	1.677e-004	3.7452

.

Equivalent stress and Total Deformation

Table 5 Equivalent stress and Total Deformation

Materials	Equivalent stress (MPa)	Total Deformation(mm)
Aluminum A390 alloy	51.719	1.2881
Carbon Fiber	51.566	0.23427
Mild steel	51.82	0.43751

Dynamic analysis results:

	Material	Directional deformation - Z(mm)	Total Deformation (mm)	Equivalent Stress (Mpa)	Material	Directional deformation	Total deformat- ion (mm)
ĺ	Carbon	60kmph	80kmph	60kmph	80 kmph	60kmph	80kmph
	Fiber	47.832	61.11	49.087	61.525	10.956	14.067

CONCLUSION

As we seen the above results, the total deformation and equivalent stresses are minimum in carbon fiber material. So, the material used for bumper as we preferred is carbon fiber.

References

- [1]Hosseinzadeh RM, Shokrieh M, and Lessard LB, "Parametric study of automotive composite bumper beams subjected to low velocity impacts", J. Composite Stuct., 68 (2005):419-427.
- [2]Marzbanrad JM, Alijanpour M, and Kiasat MS, "Design and analysis of automotive bumper beam in low speed frontal crashes", Thin Walled Struct., 47 (2009): 902- 911.
- [3]Mohapatra S, "Rapid Design Solutions for Automotive Bumper Energy Absorbers using Morphing Technique", Altair CAE users Conference 2005, Bangalore, India.
- [4]Andersson R, Schedin E, Magnusson C, Ocklund J, "The Applicability of Stainless Steel for Crash Absorbing Components", SAE Technical Paper, 2002.
- [5]Butler M, Wycech J, Parfitt J, and Tan E, "Using Terocore Brand Structural Foam to improve Bumper Beam Design", SAE Technical Paper, 2002.
- [6]Carley ME, Sharma AK, Mallela V, "Advancements in expanded polypropylene foam energy management for bumper systems", SAE Technical Paper, 2004.
- [7]Evans D and Morgan T, "Engineering Thermoplastic Energy for Bumpers", SAE Paper, 1999.
- [8]Witteman WJ, "Improved Vehicle Crashworthiness Design by Control of the Energy Absorption for Different Collision Situations", Doctoral dissertation, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2000.
- [9]Masoumi A, Mohammad Hassan Shojaeefard, Amir Najibi, "Comparison of steel, aluminum and composite bonnet in terms of pedestrian head impact" College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran, 2011: 1371–1380.
- [10]Zonghua Zhang, Shutian Liu, Zhiliang Tang, "Design optimization of cross-sectional configuration of rib reinforced thin-walled beam Technology, Dalian, China. 2009.PP 868–878.