ON THE INEQUALITIES CONCERNING POLYNOMIAL-EXPONENTIAL BOUNDS FOR INVERSE TRIGONOMETRIC FUNCTION

Ramkrishna M. Dhaigude

 Department of Mathematics, Government Vidarbha Institute of Science and Humanities, Amravati(M. S.)-444604, Maharashtra, India rmdhaigude@gmail.com

Sumedh B. Thool ∗

 Department of Mathematics, Government Vidarbha Institute of Science and Humanities, Amravati(M. S.)-444604, Maharashtra, India sumedhmaths@gmail.com

Rupesh R. Atram Department of Mathematics, Indira Mahavidyalaya, Kalamb, Dist. Yavatmal(M. S.)-445401, Maharashtra, India rupeshatram10@gmail.com

Abstract

Recent research works on mathematical inequalities shows the importance bounds of polynomialexponential type for various functions. In this article, we have provided polynomial-exponential type bounds $(1 \pm \frac{1}{4})$ $(\frac{1}{4}x^2) e^{\alpha x^2}$ for inverse trigonometric function sin⁻¹ x /x which refines the inequalities existed in the literature.

Keywords: Arcsine function; Polynomial-Exponential bounds; Inverse trigonometric functions.

MSC: 26D05; 26D07; 26D20; 33B10

Introduction:

The arcsine function is useful in navigation, engineering, and other sciences. Traditionally, it is used to determine the measure of an angle between the known two sides of right angled triangle. The graph of this function on domain (0,1) is bounded between 1 and π/2, and many researchers have studied refined bounds of it. We depict some of them here, which are of our interest.

One of the complicated approximations to arcsine function was established by Edward Neuman [9] given by (1.1) in terms of inverse tangent function,

$$
\left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \omega^2}{\omega^2}\right)^2 \le \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{x} \le \left(\frac{\tanh^{-1} \omega^2}{\omega^2 (1 - \omega^4)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ where } \omega^2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - x^2}}{2} \tag{1.1}
$$

* - Corresponding Author,sumedhmaths@gmail.com

Dhaigude & Thool [7] established a double inequality (1.2) with exponential bounds of polynomial kind,

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2x^2}{3}\right)^{\eta_1}} < \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{x} < \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2x^2}{3}\right)^{\eta_2}}\tag{1.2}
$$

where $x \in (0,1)$, l is any real number in (0,1), and $\eta_1 = 1/4$ and $\eta_2 = \ln \left(\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \right)$ $\frac{1}{\sin^{-1} 1}$) / $\ln \left(1 - \frac{2l^2}{3} \right)$ $\frac{3}{3}$) are the best possible constants s.t. (1.2) holds.

$$
1 + \frac{x^2}{6} < \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{x} < 1 + \left(\frac{\pi - 2}{2}\right) x^2, x \in (0, 1) \tag{1.3}
$$

$$
\frac{6}{6-x^2} < \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{x} < \frac{\pi}{\pi - (\pi - 2)x^2}, x \in (0,1) \tag{1.4}
$$

Dhaigude & Bagul [6] have given simple efficient bounds in the double inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) , where one can observe that (1.4) is the refinement of (1.3) . The exponential type of bounds for arcsine function was corroborated by Bagul and Bagul et.al. in [2] and [3] respectively, the corresponding double inequality is given by (1.5) as follows

$$
e^{x^2/6} < \frac{\sin^{-1} x}{x} < e^{\ln\left(\pi/2\right)x^2}, x \in (0,1) \tag{1.5}
$$

The double inequality (1.5) provides sharp lower and upper bounds than that of (1.3). Moreover, the lower bound in (1.4) is sharper than that of the lower bound in (1.5). One can more details in [2, 3, 7, 9] and references therein about the inequalities of arcsine function.

Recently, the polynomial-exponential type have been discussed for an exponential function by Chesneau [5]. Also, Bagul et.al. [4] have discussed the bounds of polynomial-exponential type for sinc and hyperbolic sinc functions. In [8], the authors have discussed the same bounds for tangent function. This shows that the researchers are quite interested in finding such type of bounds, which motivates us to write this article, by providing polynomial-exponential type bounds $\left(1 \pm \frac{1}{4}\right)$ $\frac{1}{4}x^2$ e^{αx^2} for arcsine function. We will discuss them in next sections.

Two Theorems:

This section is dedicated for the two theorems, their statements are as follows:

Theorem 2.1

The double inequality (2.1) holds true for all real numbers in (0,1),

$$
\left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4}\right) e^{\ln\left(\frac{8}{3\pi}\right)x^2} < \frac{x}{\sin^{-1}x} < \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{4}\right) e^{x^2/12},\tag{2.1}
$$

where $\ln\left(\frac{8}{3\pi}\right)$ and $\frac{1}{12}$ are the best possible constants. **Theorem 2.2**

The double inequality (2.2) holds true for all real numbers in $(0,1)$,

$$
\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{4}\right) e^{\ln\left(\frac{8}{5\pi}\right)x^2} < \frac{x}{\sin^{-1}x} < \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{4}\right) e^{-(5/12)x^2},
$$
\nwhere $\ln\left(\frac{8}{5\pi}\right)$ and $\frac{-5}{12}$ are the best possible constants.

\n(2.2)

Preliminaries & Lemmas:

In this section, we write some preliminary results and required lemmas that are required to prove theorems 2.1 and 2.2. First we state the celebrated lemma which is known as l'Hˆopital's rule of monotonicity ([1] p. 10)(see also [11, 12]).

Lemma 3.1 (l'H^opital's rule of monotonicity, [1,11,12])

Let α, β be two real valued functions which are continuous on [a, b] and differentiable on (a, b) , where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and $\beta'(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in (a, b)$. Let,

$$
r_1(x) = \frac{\alpha(x) - \alpha(a)}{\beta(x) - \beta(a)} \text{ and } r_2(x) = \frac{\alpha(x) - \alpha(b)}{\beta(x) - \beta(b)}.
$$

Then

i) $r_1(x)$ and $r_2(x)$ are increasing on (a, b) if $\frac{a'}{a'}$ $\frac{a}{\beta'}$ is increasing on (a, b) and

ii) $r_1(x)$ and $r_2(x)$ are decreasing on (a, b) if $\frac{a'}{a'}$ $\frac{a}{\beta'}$ is decreasing on (a, b) .

The strictness of the monotonicity of $r_1(x)$ and $r_2(x)$ depends on the strictness of monotonicity of $\frac{\alpha'}{\beta'}$ $\frac{a}{\beta'}$.

Next lemma gives us, the power series expansion of arcsine function that can be seen in ([10], 1.645).

Lemma 3.2

If $x \in (0,1)$, then we have

$$
\frac{\sin^{-1} x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^{2k+1}
$$
 (3.1)

and

$$
(\sin^{-1} x)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k x^{2k+2}
$$
 (3.2)

where $a_k = (k+1)b_k$ and $b_k = \frac{2^{2k}(k!)^2}{(2k+1)(k+1)}$ $\frac{2(k+1)!}{(2k+1)!(k+1)}$.

Now, we provide four lemmas which are key ingredients to prove the main theorems of this article. **Lemma 3.3**

If
$$
k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \text{ then}
$$

\n
$$
2a_k - 17a_{k+1} + 40a_{k+2} - 16a_{k+3} + 2b_k + 14b_{k+1} - 48b_{k+2} + 32b_{k+3} > 0.
$$
 (3.3)
\n**Lemma 3.4**
\nIf $x \in (0,1)$, then
\n
$$
x^2(x^2 - 4)^2 + 2(x^4 + 8x^2 - 16)(1 - x^2)(\sin^{-1} x)^2
$$
\n
$$
< \frac{(2x^3 - x)(x^2 - 4)^2(\sin^{-1} x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}
$$
\n(3.4)

Lemma 3.5

If $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then

$$
2a_k + 15a_{k+1} + 24a_{k+2} - 16a_{k+3} + 2b_k - 18b_{k+1} - 16b_{k+2} + 32b_{k+3} > 0 \quad (3.5)
$$

Lemma 3.6

If
$$
x \in (0,1)
$$
, then
\n
$$
x^{2}(x^{2} + 4)^{2} + 2(x^{4} - 8x^{2} - 16)(1 - x^{2})(\sin^{-1} x)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
< \frac{(2x^{3} - x)(x^{2} + 4)^{2}(\sin^{-1} x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}}
$$
\n(3.6)

4. Proof of some Lemmas & Theorems:

Proof of **Lemma 3.3:**

Denote the R.H.S. of (3.3) by $m(k)$. We have to prove $0 > -m(k) = M(k)$ (say). Utilising **Lemma 3.2** in $M(k)$, we get

$$
M(k)
$$

= $2a_k - 17a_{k+1} + 40a_{k+2} - 16a_{k+3} + 2b_k + 14b_{k+1} - 48b_{k+2} + 32b_{k+3}$
=
$$
\frac{2^{2k} \times r(k)}{(2k+1)!(8k^7 + 140k^6 + 1022k^5 + 4025k^4 + 9212k^3 + 12215k^2 + 8658k + 2520)}
$$

where

$$
r(k) = -36k^7 - 600k^6 - 4011k^5 - 14312k^4 - 30182k^3 - 38227k^2 - 26980k - 8160
$$

Observe that, $r(k)$ is negative for all $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$... Therefore, $M(k) < 0$ and hence $m(k) > 0$, $\forall k$. This proves inequality (3.3).

Proof of **Lemma 3.4:**

To prove inequality (3.4), first we rewrite it and denote new form by

$$
P(x) = x^2(x^2 - 4)^2 + A(x) + B(x) < 0.
$$

Now we prove that $P(x)$ is negative decreasing function, where

$$
A(x) = \frac{(x - 2x^3)(x^2 - 4)^2(\sin^{-1} x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \text{ and}
$$

$$
B(x) = 2(x^4 + 8x^2 - 16)(1 - x^2)(\sin^{-1} x)^2
$$

Now, by utilizing **Lemma 3.2** in $A(x)$ and $B(x)$, we simply get the following

$$
A(x) = -\frac{17}{15}x^6 - \frac{88}{3}x^4 + 16x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k x^{2k+8} \text{ and}
$$

$$
B(x) = -\frac{83}{45}x^6 + \frac{56}{3}x^4 - 16x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k x^{2k+8}
$$

Where

$$
A_k = -2a_k + 17a_{k+1} - 40a_{k+2} + 16a_{k+3}
$$
 and

$$
B_k = -b_k - 7b_{k+1} + 24b_{k+2} - 16b_{k+3}.
$$

So, with the values of $A(x)$, $B(x)$, A_k and B_k in $P(x)$, we obtain

$$
P(x) = -\frac{172}{45}x^{6} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (A_{k} + 2B_{k}) x^{2k+8}
$$

It remains to show that $A_k + 2B_k < 0$, $\forall k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is true by **Lemma 3.3,** because A_k + $2B_k = M(k)$.

It means every coefficient is negative in the power series expansion of $P(x)$. By differentiating it w.r.t. x, we obtain $P'(x) < 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. So, $P(x)$ is monotone decreasing which implies $P(0) > P(x)$, for $0 < x$ and it shows $P(x)$ is negative.

Thus, $P(x)$ is negative decreasing on (0,1). This proves inequality (3.4).

Proof of **Theorem 2.1:**

Denote

$$
\phi(x) := \frac{\phi_1(x)}{\phi_2(x)} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\sin^{-1}x}{x}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{4-x^2}{4}\right)}{x^2},
$$

where $\phi_1(x) = \ln\left(\frac{\sin^{-1}x}{x}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{4-x^2}{4}\right)$ and $\phi_2(x) = x^2$ with $\phi_1(0 + 0) = 0 = \phi_2(0)$.

Differentiating w.r.t. x , we obtain

 VOLUME 11, ISSUE 02, February -2024

$$
\frac{\phi_1'(x)}{\phi_2'(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x(\sin^{-1} x)\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \frac{4+x^2}{x^2(4-x^2)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \phi_3(x),
$$

where

$$
\phi_3(x) = \frac{1}{x(\sin^{-1}x)\sqrt{1-x^2}} - \frac{4+x^2}{x^2(4-x^2)},
$$

Now, we show that $\phi_3(x)$ is increasing on (0,1) *i.e.* $\phi'_3(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. Now, differentiating w.r.t. x, we get

$$
\phi_3'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \phi_4(x) \, ,
$$

where

$$
\phi_4(x) = -\frac{1}{(\sin^{-1}x)^2(1-x^2)} \left(x + \frac{(1-2x^2)(\sin^{-1}x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right) - \frac{2(x^4+8x^2-16)}{x(x^2-4)^2}
$$

Now, $\phi'_3(x) > 0 \iff \phi_4(x) > 0$, because $\frac{1}{x^2} > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$.

By **Lemma 3.4**, $\phi_4(x) > 0$ and hence $\phi'_3(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. So, $\phi_3(x)$ and its scalar multiples are increasing on (0,1).

Therefore, $\phi'_1(x)/\phi'_2(x)$ is increasing on (0,1). So, by **Lemma 3.1**, $\phi(x)$ is increasing on (0,1). This implies that $\phi(0 +) < \phi(x) < \phi(1-)$ and $(0 +) = -1/12$, $\phi(1 -) = \ln(3\pi/8)$, which proves the theorem.

Proof of **Lemma 3.5:**

Denote the R.H.S. of (3.3) by $n(k)$. We have to prove $0 > -n(k) = N(k)$ (say). Utilising **Lemma 3.2** in $N(k)$, we get

$$
N(k)
$$

= $2a_k + 15a_{k+1} + 24a_{k+2} - 16a_{k+3} + 2b_k - 18b_{k+1} - 16b_{k+2} + 32b_{k+3}$
=
$$
\frac{2^{2k} \times s(k)}{(2k+1)!(8k^7 + 140k^6 + 1022k^5 + 4025k^4 + 9212k^3 + 12215k^2 + 8658k + 2520)}
$$

where

$$
s(k) = -100k^7 - 1720k^6 - 11947k^5 - 43592k^4 - 90502k^3 - 107411k^2 - 68100k - 18016
$$

Observe that, $s(k)$ is negative for all $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Therefore, $N(k) < 0$ and hence $n(k) > 0$, $\forall k$. This proves the inequality (3.5).

Proof of **Lemma 3.6:**

To prove inequality (3.6), first we rewrite it and denote new form by

$$
Q(x) = x^2(x^2 + 4)^2 + A(x) + B(x) < 0.
$$

Now we prove that $Q(x)$ is negative decreasing function, where

$$
C(x) = \frac{(x - 2x^3)(x^2 + 4)^2(\sin^{-1} x)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \text{ and}
$$

$$
D(x) = 2(x^4 - 8x^2 - 16)(1 - x^2)(\sin^{-1} x)^2
$$

Now, by utilizing Lemma 3.2 in $C(x)$ and $D(x)$, we simply get the following

$$
C(x) = -\frac{337}{15}x^6 - \frac{40}{3}x^4 + 16x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k x^{2k+8}
$$
 and

$$
D(x) = \frac{397}{45}x^6 + \frac{8}{3}x^4 - 16x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_k x^{2k+8}
$$

Where

$$
C_k = -2a_k - 15a_{k+1} - 24a_{k+2} + 16a_{k+3}
$$
 and

$$
D_k = -b_k + 9b_{k+1} + 8b_{k+2} - 16b_{k+3}.
$$

So, with the values of $C(x)$, $D(x)$, C_k and D_k in $Q(x)$, we obtain

$$
Q(x) = -\frac{172}{45}x^{6} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (C_{k} + 2D_{k}) x^{2k+8}
$$

It remains to show that $C_k + 2D_k < 0$, $\forall k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which is true by **Lemma 3.5,** because C_k + $2D_k = N(k)$.

It means every coefficient is negative in the power series expansion of $Q(x)$. By differentiating it w.r.t. x, we obtain $Q'(x) < 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. So, $Q(x)$ is monotone decreasing which implies $Q(0) > Q(x)$, for $0 <$ x and it shows $Q(x)$ is negative.

Thus, $Q(x)$ is negative decreasing on (0,1). This proves inequality (3.6).

Proof of **Theorem 2.2:** Denote

$$
\psi(x) := \frac{\psi_1(x)}{\psi_2(x)} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{\sin^{-1}x}{x}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{4+x^2}{4}\right)}{x^2},
$$

where $\psi_1(x) = \ln\left(\frac{\sin^{-1}x}{x}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{4+x^2}{4}\right)$ and $\psi_2(x) = x^2$ with $\psi_1(0 + 0) = 0 = \psi_2(0)$.

Differentiating w.r.t. x , we obtain

$$
\frac{\psi_1'(x)}{\psi_2'(x)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{x(\sin^{-1} x)\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{x^2 - 4}{x^2(4+x^2)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \psi_3(x),
$$

where

$$
\psi_3(x) = \frac{1}{x(\sin^{-1}x)\sqrt{1-x^2}} + \frac{x^2 - 4}{x^2(4+x^2)},
$$

Now, we show that $\psi_3(x)$ is increasing on (0,1) *i.e.* $\psi'_3(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. Differentiating w.r.t. x , we obtain

$$
\psi_3'(x) = \frac{1}{x^2} \psi_4(x) \, ,
$$

where

$$
\psi_4(x) = -\frac{1}{(\sin^{-1}x)^2(1-x^2)} \left(x + \frac{(1-2x^2)(\sin^{-1}x)}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} \right) + \frac{2(x^4 - 8x^2 - 16)}{x(x^2 + 4)^2}
$$

Now, $\psi'_3(x) > 0 \iff \psi_4(x) > 0$, because $\frac{1}{x^2} > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$.

By **Lemma 3.6**, $\psi_4(x) > 0$ and hence $\psi'_3(x) > 0$, $\forall x \in (0,1)$. So, $\psi_3(x)$ and its scalar multiples are increasing on (0,1).

Therefore, $\psi_1'(x)/\psi_2'(x)$ is increasing on (0,1). So, by **Lemma 3.1**, $\psi(x)$ is increasing on (0,1). This implies that $\psi(0 +) < \psi(x) < \psi(1-)$ and $(0 +) = 5/12$, $\psi(1 -) = \ln(5\pi/8)$, which proves the theorem.

Conclusion:

As we have noticed that the lower bound(LB) in (1.4) is sharper than that of (1.5) and (1.3). the LB for function $f(x) = \sin^{-1} x / x$ in (2.2) is the refinement of LB in (1.4). Also, the LB of (2.1) is tighter than the LB in (2.2) for $f(x)$. The upper bound(UB) of it in (1.4) is very tight than that of the UBs in (1.3) , (1.5) , (2.1) and (2.2) . Despite this fact, the UB in (2.1) is the refinement of UBs of $f(x)$ in (1.3) , (1.5) and (2.2) . Moreover, the UB of it in (2.2) is tighter than that in (1.3) and (1.5) . We conclude this article by writing following inequality,

$$
\begin{split} &1+\left(\frac{\pi-2}{2}\right)x^2>e^{\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)x^2}>\left(1+\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{-1}e^{\ln\left(\frac{5\pi}{8}\right)x^2}>\left(1-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{-1}e^{\ln\left(\frac{3\pi}{8}\right)x^2}\\ &>\frac{\pi}{\pi-(\pi-2)x^2}>\frac{\sin^{-1}x}{x}>\left(1-\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{-1}e^{\frac{-x^2}{12}}>\left(1+\frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{-1}e^{\frac{-5x^2}{12}}\\ &>\frac{6}{6-x^2}>e^{\frac{x^2}{6}}>1+\frac{1}{6}x^2 \end{split}
$$

Compliance with Ethical Standards

 Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

 Ethical approval This article does not contain any studies involving human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

 Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all participants in this study.

References

- 1. Anderson, G.D., Vamanamurthy, M.K. & Vuorinen, M. (1997). Conformal Invariants, Inequalities and Quasiconformal Maps, John Wiley and Sons: New York, USA. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221(97)90243-1) [1221\(97\)90243-1.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0898-1221(97)90243-1)
- 2. Bagul, Y.J.(2018). New inequalities involving circular, inverse circular, hyperbolic, inverse hyperbolic and exponential functions, Adv. Inequal. Appl., 5, 1- 8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.28919/aia/3556.](http://dx.doi.org/10.28919/aia/3556)
- 3. Bagul, Y.J., Thool, S.B. & Dhaigude, R.M. (2020). On new inequalities involving circular, inverse circular, inverse hyperbolic and exponential functions, GANITA, 70(2), 67-72. <https://bharataganitaparisad.com/ganita-volume-702/>
- 4. Bagul, Y.J., Dhaigude, R.M., Kostic ̀, M. & Chesneau, C. (2021). Polynomial- Exponential Bounds for Some Trigonometric and Hyperbolic Functions, Axioms, 10, 308, 1-10. [https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10040308.](https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms10040308)
- 5. Chesneau, C. (2018). Some tight polynomial-exponential lower bounds for an exponential function, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 11(3), 273-294 [https://journals.yu.edu.jo/jjms/Vol11No3Contents2018.html.](https://journals.yu.edu.jo/jjms/Vol11No3Contents2018.html)
- 6. Dhaigude, R.M. & Bagul, Y.J.(2021). Simple efficient bounds for arcsine and arctangent functions, South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 17(3), 45-62. [https://rsmams.org/journals/download.php?articleid=621.](https://rsmams.org/journals/download.php?articleid=621)
- 7. Dhaigude, R.M. & Thool, S.B. (2022). A short note on Shafer-Fink's double inequality involving Arcsine function, Aayushi Int. Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 109, 642-645.
- 8. Dhaigude, R.M. & Bagul, Y.J. (2022). A note on the Becker-Stark type inequalities, Probl. Anal. Issues Anal., 11(29), No. 1, 58-66. [http://dx.doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2022.10770.](http://dx.doi.org/10.15393/j3.art.2022.10770)
- 9. Edward Neuman(2007). Inequalities Involving Inverse Circular and Inverse Hyperbolic Functions, Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser.Mat. 18, 32-37. [http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/jmi-04-02.](http://dx.doi.org/10.7153/jmi-04-02)
- 10. Gradshteyn, I.S. & Ryzhik, I.M. (2007). Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- 11. Iosif Pinelis (2002). L'hospital type rules for monotonicity, with applications, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 3(1), No. 5, 1-5. [http://emis.icm.edu.pl/journals/JIPAM/v3n1/010_01.html.](http://emis.icm.edu.pl/journals/JIPAM/v3n1/010_01.html)
- 12. Kwong, M.K. (2015). On Ho^opital-style rules for monotonicity and oscillation, arXiv.2015, Online: [https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.07805.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.07805)