

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PLAYER AND NON- PLAYER STUDENTS IN RELATION TO MENTAL HEALTH AND INTELLECTUAL

Mayank R. Sharma
Assistant Professor

Abstract

The objective of this study was "To examine mental health dimensions between player and non- player students". Researcher took 50 boys and 50 girls ranging from 17 to 21 years for the study. In the present study sex and type of students have been treated as independent variable and mental health as dependent variable. The respective groups of boys and girls were administered the mental health inventory by *Jagdish and Srivastava*). It was observed that - male player subjects are found more positive self-evaluation, integration of personality, autonomy and environmental mastery than male non player subject, and over all mental health there is significant difference between male player and male non -player subjects. Female Player subjects are found more positive self-evaluation, integration of personality, autonomy, group-oriented attitudes and environmental mastery than female non player subjects, and over all mental health, there is significant difference between female player and female non -player subjects.

Keywords: Mental health, male players, female players

Introduction:

For some time now, it has been common knowledge that exercise is good for one's physical health. It has only been in recent years, however, that it has become commonplace to read in magazines and health newsletters that exercise can also be of value in promoting sound mental health. The World Health Organization defines mental health as "a state of well-being in which the individual realizes his or her own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to his or her community" (*Stephen et al*).

Neither mental nor physical health can exist alone. Mental, physical, and social functioning are interdependent. In recent years, there has been evidence of disturbingly high rates of mental ill-health among adolescents and even younger children, ranging from low-self-esteem, anxiety and depression to eating disorders, substance abuse and suicide (*Sallis and Owen*,). Research suggests two ways in which physical activities can contribute to mental health in adolescents. Firstly, there is fairly consistent evidence that regular activity can have a positive effect upon boys' and girls' psychological well-being. Secondly, research has indicated that physical activity can contribute to the reduction of problematic levels of anxiety and depression.

Evidence is beginning to be gathered for exercise as a treatment for clinical depression, with studies finding that physical activity is as effective a treatment as anti-depressants (*Dimeo et al*), and psychotherapy (*Martinsen*,). Similarly, a variety of nonclinical studies have found that higher levels of activity were related to lower rates of depression (*Hassmen et al*). A position statement of the International Society of Sport Psychology (*Singer*) drew out numerous mental health benefits of physical activity from the research literature, including reduced state anxiety, neuroticism and anxiety, mild to moderate depression, and various kinds of stress. A review of current literature indicates that people who participate in sports and organized recreational activity enjoy better mental health, are more alert, and more resilient against the stresses of modern living. Participation in recreational groups and socially supported physical activity is

shown to reduce stress, anxiety and depression, and reduce symptoms of Alzheimer's disease (*Carcach & Huntley*).

Hypotheses

1. There exists significant difference in the level of mental health and intellectual between the male player students and the male non-player students.
2. There exists significant difference in the level of mental health and intellectual between the female player students and the female non-player students.

Materials & Methods

Selection of the sample

The present study was conducted on 50 Boys and 50 Girls ranging in age from 17-21 years. A total of 100 subjects (player and non player college going students) were selected for the present research study. The sample selection method was used as purposive sampling technique. The area was limited to Chandigarh. The detailed break-up of the sample is given below:

Area	Male (N)	Female (N)	Total (N)
Player	25	25	50
Non- Player	25	25	50
Total	50	50	100

Independent Variables:

- a) Sex
- b) Type of students.

Dependent Variables:

Mental Health.

Selection of research tool:

Mental health inventory (*Jagdish and Srivastav*).

2 The data was collected from the various colleges and sports training centers. The subjects were first explained about the aim of the research study, thereafter mental health inventory given by (*Jagdish and Srivastav*) was administered. The subjects' were assured confidentiality of their responses.

Results & Discussion

Table-1: Statistical Comparison of Mental Health Inventory components between male player and non- player students.

Area	Type	N	Mean	S.D.	T-value	Sign
Positive Self Evaluation	Player	25	33.12	3.72	2.19	0.05
	Non- Player	25	31.08	2.81		
Perception of Reality	Player	25	25.88	2.86	0.72	NS
	Non- Player	25	25.20	3.78		
Integration of Personality	Player	25	39.32	3.66	3.50	0.01
	Non- Player	25	35.36	4.31		
Autonomy	Player	25	20.52	1.96	2.32	0.01
	Non- Player	25	19.32	2.44		

Group Oriented Attitude	Player	25	34.68	4.34	0.64	NS
	Non- Player	25	33.96	3.55		
Environmental Mastery	Player	25	33.96	2.52	4.04	0.01
	Non- Player	25	30.68	3.17		
Over all	Player	25	187.48	7.14	5.50	0.01
	Non- Player	25	175.40	8.35		

Table 1 shows difference between the groups of male player and male non –player subjects on the various factors of Mental Health Inventory. Only four factors are showing significant difference between the two groups at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels on 48 df grade. It is concluded that player subjects are found more positive in self-evaluation ($t=2.19$, $p<0.05$), integration of personality ($t =3.50$, $p<0.01$), autonomy ($t=2.32$, $p<0.01$) and environmental mastery ($t =4.04$, $p<0.01$), than the non player subjects, and over all mental health, there is significant difference between male player and male non –player subjects. The obtained 't' values of these groups are 5.50. In order to be significant at 0.05, the minimum required value of 't' is 2.02. While at 0.01 level it is 2.70. Since the obtained value is larger than which is required to be significant at 0.01 level.

3 Table-2: Statistical Comparison of Mental Health Inventory components between female player and non- player students.

Area	Type	N	Mean	S.D.	T-value	Sign
Positive Self Evaluation	Player	25	32.24	3.02	3.07	0.01
	Non- Player	25	29.36	3.59		
Perception of Reality	Player	25	24.80	3.84	0.59	NS
	Non- Player	25	24.12	4.58		
Integration of Personality	Player	25	37.12	5.58	2.06	0.05
	Non- Player	25	33.84	5.64		
Autonomy	Player	25	18.36	2.66	3.19	0.01
	Non- Player	25	16.24	1.98		
Group Oriented Attitude	Player	25	35.20	3.85	2.88	0.01
	Non- Player	25	31.84	4.37		
Environmental Mastery	Player	25	33.12	4.02	2.16	0.05
	Non- Player	25	30.84	3.39		
Over all	Player	25	180.04	8.34	4.89	0.01
	Non- Player	25	166.80	10.65		

Table 2 shows difference between the groups of female player and female non -player subjects on the various factors of M. H. Inventory. Five factors out of six are showing significant difference between two groups at the 0.05 and 0.01 level on 48 df grade. It is concluded that player subjects are found more positive self evaluation ($t = 3.07$, $p<0.01$), integration of personality ($t =2.06$, $p<0.05$), autonomy ($t = 3.19$), group oriented attitudes ($t = 2.88$, $p<0.01$) and environmental mastery ($t =2.16$, $p<0.05$) than non player subjects, and over all mental health there is significant difference between female player and female non –player subjects. The obtained 't' value of these groups are 5.32. In order to be significant at 0.05, the minimum required value of 't' is 2.02. While at 0.01 level it is 2.70. Since the obtained value is larger than which is required to be significant at 0.01 level.

Conclusion

Male player subjects are found more positive self-evaluation, integration of personality, autonomy and environmental mastery than male non player's subjects and over all mental health there is significant difference between male player and male non -player subjects. Female Player subjects are found more positive self-evaluation, integration of personality, autonomy, group-oriented attitudes and environmental mastery than female non player subjects and over all mental health there is significant difference between female player and female non -player subjects.

References

1. Bailey, K., Moulton, M., and Moulton, P. 1999. Athletics as a predictor of self-esteem and approval motivation. *The Sports Journal*, **2(2)**: 1-5. Carcach, C. Y., Huntley, C. 2002.
2. Community Participation and Regional Crime. Australian Institute of Criminology. Trends and Issues in Crime and Criminal Justice Number 222. (Canberra: AIC). Dimeo, F., Bauer, M., Varahram, I., Proest, G. and Halter, U. 2001.
3. Benefits of Aerobic Exercise in Patients Withmajor Depression: a pilot study. *Brit. J. Sports Med.*, **35**: 114-117. Hassmen, P., Koivula, N. and Uutela, A. 2000.
4. Physical Exercise and Psychological Well-being: a population studyin Finland. *Prev. Medicine*, **30(1)**: 17-25. Taylor, M., Pietrobon, R., Pan, D., Huff, M. and Higgins, L. 2004.
5. Healthy People 2010 Physical Activity Guidelines and Psychological Symptoms: evidence from a large nationwide database. *J. Phys. Activity and Health*, **1**: 114-130.
6. Hossein, P. Z., Farhad, R., Fatemeh, M. 2011. Comparing the Mental Health of the Athletic and Non-athletic Physically- disabled People. *Iran. J. Health and Physical Activity*, **2(1)**: 6-10.